

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 93 04:30:09 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #372
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 11 Oct 93 Volume 93 : Issue 372

Today's Topics:

band usage/license stats.
Cheating on exams
Enough of the debate.
High frequency!

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 04:17:39 GMT
From: usc!wupost!csus.edu!netcom.com!rbloom@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: band usage/license stats.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Can someone suggest how I get statistics on
a.) breakdown of US Amateurs by license class
b.) usage of different bands/modes

a.) is obviously "on the books" somewhere
b.) would come from either formal or anecdotal survey data

Thanks
Ron
WA6MQC

Date: Fri, 08 Oct 93 13:41:51 -0400
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!paladin.american.edu!gatech!
dragon!nj8j!ben@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Cheating on exams
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

clmorgan@miaavx3.mid.muohio.edu writes:

> But on the other hand, IF YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN, note all relevant
> information on the test paper, fail the applicant, and forward a full report
> to the VEC.

This is, in fact, what was done.

In retrospect, this incident probably isn't as relevant to the original
thread as I thought when I first posted.

BTW, are the fill-in-the-blank style tests that uncommon? The VE Team I
used to work with always used them, so I didn't even think about that aspect
of them. The ARRL/VEC allows the VE Team to choose which style of test they
want to use. Is this true of the other VECs?

Ben

```
+-----+-----+
| Ben Coleman NJ8J | "All that is not eternal is |
| AX.25: NJ8J@W4QO.#EAL.#ATL.GA.USA.NA | eternally out of date." |
| Internet: ben@nj8j.atl.ga.us | C. S. Lewis |
+-----+-----+
```

Date: 10 Oct 93 15:10:47 EDT
From: psinntp!arrl.org@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Enough of the debate.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.policy, rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters) writes:

>
>You should be able to get help on the form and procedures from ARRL, they
>are active on the Internet. Send a message to: 'info@arrl.org' for more
>information. They don't have an FAQ file on petitions, but I know they
>have several staff members who deal with FCC matters and the ARRL has
>always been willing to offer helpful suggestions to any serious inquiries.
>

I would just like to make a minor correction to the above paragraph. The
address mentioned, info@arrl.org, is an Automated Information Server that

understands only a limited vocabulary. For a list of ARRL email addresses, send a message to info@arrl.org with any subject and the following text as a message body:

help	(for a brief set of instructions)
index	(for a list of topics)
send arrl-email-adr	(list of ARRL email addresses)
quit	(to prevent errors from info)

When you receive messages from info@arrl.org, do NOT use your mailer's reply function to make another request (it will not be properly processed); Write a new message to info@arrl.org instead.

Best Regards! Michael Tracy, KC1SX, ARRL Technical Information Services

American Radio Relay League, Inc.	"Devoted entirely to Amateur Radio"
225 Main Street	Tel: 1-203-666-1541
Newington, CT 06111	Fax: 1-203-665-7531

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 00:52:25 GMT
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!darwin.sura.net!spool.mu.edu!
news.cs.indiana.edu!noose.ecn.purdue.edu!mentor.cc.purdue.edu!sage.cc.purdue.edu!
blumb@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: High frequency!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Man, I really shouldn't have started reading this thread...
but anyways.....

dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

>kevin.jessup <kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com> writes:

>>bbattles@arrl.org (Brian Battles WS10) writes:

>> > Brian Battles, WS10 I Tel 203-666-1541, ext 222 I "Radio amateurs
>> > QST Features Editor I Fax 203-665-7531 I do it with high
>> > ARRL HQ I Internet bbattles@arrl.org I frequency"
>> > Newington, CT USA I Amprnet ws10@ws10.ampr.org [44.88.0.87]
>>

>> Nice sig!

>>

>> How about: "Radio amateurs do it with frequency, until it Hertz!"

>

>Does that mean that NO-CODERS do it with VERY HIGH FREQUENCY? Or even

>ULTRA HIGH FREQUENCY?

Unfortunately, nocoders can't go the required DISTANCE for some people...

(ducking to avoid thrown tomatoes, etc.)

--

Bill Blum blumb@sage.cc.purdue.edu Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN
Have HTX202. Have Nice 1/4wave antenna. Have lots of Goodies.
Still waiting for Piece of Paper from FCC.
5 days and counting to getting a N9??? call....

Date: 10 Oct 1993 12:36:11 -0700
From: swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!news2.uunet.ca!apple.com!
apple.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2531@indep1.UUCP>, <ZPa5ac1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>,
<2536@indep1.UUCP>.ca
Subject : Re: Enough of the debate.

clifto@indep1.UUCP (Cliff Sharp) writes:

> With that in mind, how do you think people would fare on questions like
>these:

>[schematic diagram shown]

>The following questions 1-3 refer to the schematic shown above.

>1. What type of circuit is shown?
> a. Hartley oscillator
> b. common-base amplifier
> c. TRF amplifier
> d. tuned-collector, tuned-grid oscillator
> e. VCO

Without even seeing the schematic (!), the answer is
obviously not (d). Never heard of a "tuned-collector, tuned-grid
oscillator."

I take it back, maybe the schematic shows a transistor and
a vacuum tube in cascode!

<giggles>

73,

Kok Chen, AA6TY
Apple Computer, Inc.

kchen@apple.com

Date: 10 Oct 1993 22:48:26 -0400
From: vtserf.cc.vt.edu!csugrad.cs.vt.edu!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Oct9.162925.14132@mixcom.mixcom.com>,
<mZ56ac1w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, <CEpJrE.6LG@mentor.cc.purdue.edu>ot
Subject : Re: High frequency!

In article <CEpJrE.6LG@mentor.cc.purdue.edu> blumb@sage.cc.purdue.edu (Bill Blum) writes:

>
>Unfortunately, nocoders can't go the required DISTANCE for some people...
>

But ham sat is capable of very long distance qsl, and even makes it a menage a trois...

--
Joe Reid, KE4FPN
jreid@csugrad.cs.vt.edu
rrr!jreid@vtserf.cc.vt.edu
Mac and UNIX Systems Administrator, pool player, and professional do-nothing
jreid@mail.vt.edu
jreid@gnu.ai.mit.edu
vpcjoe@vtcs1.cs.vt.edu

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 01:58:32 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!pagesat!indirect.com!nu7i@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <CDy3qp.Gqp@news.Hawaii.Edu>, <285nii\$hds@chnews.intel.com>,
<kg7bk.749109358@indirect.com>&
Subject : Re: Codeless Tech Debate

Hello. I am a blind ham who can copy cw at 40 wpm no problem. I also have techniques to determine the freq that I am on so I am definitely not limited.

If the radio has a speech chip, that's the simplest. Others include braille dials on older rigs.

Many newer radios, even ones that don't have the speech chip have keypad freq entry which the blind can use.

There is also the activity of the bands if everything else fails. Just go where you hear the activity.

I normally don't have to do this, however.

Oh, btw, the deaf can certainly copy cw. They feel the vibration from a

speaker or it is modified so that there is a light that indicates the long and short signals.

I would suspect that vibration is a little easier but I don't claim to speak for the deaf. 73

--

President: Visually Impaired Student Concerns, Arizona State University
(The complete information access agenda.)

Date: 11 Oct 93 03:14:58 GMT
From: munnari.oz.au!mel.dit.csiro.au!its.csiro.au!dmssyd.syd.dms.CSIRO.AU!
news.cs.uow.edu.au!news.ci.com.au!eram!dave@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <93279.103028SUSEEA@MAINE.MAINE.EDU>, <CEHJBD.C5s@pica.army.mil>,
<rcrw90-071093105243@node_142cf.aieg.mot.com>.
Subject : Re: Getting my license. Do I want to be like this?

In article <rcrw90-071093105243@node_142cf.aieg.mot.com>,
rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters) writes:

| [...] there was an infamouds
| individual in NY state who would spend all day (no kidding) calling "CQ A1
| operators only No kids no lids no school bus riders ..."

Ah yes - I've heard about him, some old W-call or other.

| He did this for some 10 years until he died - I am told from unrelated
| causes :-)

Did they have to prise the key from his cold, dead hand?

--

Dave Horsfall (VK2KFU) VK2KFU @ VK2RWI.NSW.AUS.OC PGP 2.3
dave@esi.COM.AU ...munari!esi.COM.AU!dave available

Date: Mon, 11 Oct 1993 01:25:46 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!torn!nott!uotcsi2!revcan!
rubicon!cowan@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Oct3.152402.25634@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,
<1993Oct6.213537.9941@rubicon.org>, <1993Oct7.144031.17812@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>
Subject : Re: If you're going to fight about it, spell it correctly!

In article <1993Oct7.144031.17812@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu> rcanders@nyx.UUCP (Mr. Nice Guy) writes:

>
>Not when it can get this kind of reaction !
>
>If Morris bugs the of's so much long live Morris code

Actually, smart guy, I'm a no-coder who is just plain embarrassed by the performance of people like yourself in this pointless debate.

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #372
